



BUYER PERSONA

"Morgan Vance"

By Daniel Stouffer



Kaperider Services - Ideal Buyer Persona

Built from our Generative AI copywriting research, then expanded using ethnographic and psychographic lenses.

This persona is designed for sales and marketing use. It is not just “who they are,” but why they buy, what makes them hesitate, and what proof they need to move.

Grounding note: your persona framework docs emphasize that strong personas come from real context and behavior, not just self-reported preferences, and that contextual inquiry exposes hidden workarounds, constraints, and decision drivers that surveys miss.

Ideal Buyer Persona (one-pager)

Morgan Vance, Director of Growth Marketing

Role and context

- Director of Growth Marketing or Head of Demand Gen at a mid-market B2B SaaS or services firm (\$20M to \$150M revenue)
- Team of 3 to 10 marketers, shared resources with product marketing, and eEB. sign, RevOps
- Runs on deadlines, stakeholder approvals, and pipeline targets
- Uses AI frequently for drafting and variants, but lacks consistent guardrails

Primary mission

Increase qualified pipeline without scaling headcount while protecting brand trust and conversion performance.

Core KPIs

- MQL to SQL conversion, demo requests, booked meetings
- Landing page conversion rate, email and reply rate, pipeline influenced
- CAC efficiency, sales cycle velocity (indirectly)
- Content cycle time, revision count, time-to-publish

Needs, wants, desires

- **Needs:** A repeatable system that makes AI safe, consistent, and performance-oriented
- **Wants:** Faster shipping with fewer revisions and fewer stakeholder battles
- **Desires:** Content that feels unmistakably “us,” earns trust quickly, and converts consistently
- **Hidden desire:** To be seen as the leader who brought clarity and control to a chaotic content engine

Goals (what winning looks like)

- “Our content sounds distinct, not interchangeable.”
- “Sales trusts the content, and lead quality improves.”
- “We have a real voice system and a message spine.”
- “We ship fast without publishing fluff.”
- “I can defend this spend because outcomes are measurable.”

Top pains

- Content volume is up, but performance per asset is down
- AI drafts are fast but bland, vague, and off-voice
- Stakeholder review loops eat the calendar
- Claims feel risky because of the lack of proof or their scattered nature
- They cannot prove what content is truly driving the pipeline

What keeps Morgan up at night

- “Are we diluting the brand while trying to scale content?”
- “Are we publishing content that looks deceptive, generic, or AI-generated?”
- “Will search visibility drop because we are producing low-value content?”
- “Will leadership cut our budget or replace vendors because ‘AI can do it’?”
- “If Sales loses trust in marketing content, do we lose internal credibility?”
- “Are we one careless claim away from a legal or reputational headache?”

Buying triggers

- Stalled pipeline or rising CAC
- Competitors “flooding the zone” with content, creating sameness pressure
- Leadership is asking why external writers are needed
- Repeated revision cycles and missed launch timelines
- A brand voice crisis: inconsistent tone across channels and creators

Decision criteria

Morgan buys systems, not writing. They want:

- Message architecture that reduces randomness
- Voice DNA that is enforceable, not vague
- Proof density and claims discipline
- Straightforward workflow with QA and risk gates
- Measurable testing and iteration plan
- A partner who understands martech reality and stakeholder constraints

Trust signals that close the deal

- Before-and-after rewrites showing sameness removed
 - A documented process: truth intake, Voice DNA, proof gates, editorial accountability. Precise definitions of what success means and how it will be measured
 - Examples of assets tied to outcomes, not aesthetics
 - A practical engagement plan that reduces revision cycles
-

Objections: How to Handle Them

Use these as talking points, email copy blocks, and sales call anchors.

1) “AI can write this. Why pay you?”

What they mean: Prove you are not selling drafting.

Response:

“You are right that AI can generate drafts. What it cannot reliably do is protect your voice, verify claims, build proof density, and structure messaging so it converts. I reduce sameness, reduce revision cycles, and increase conversion. You are paying for outcomes and risk control, not words.”

Proof to offer: Before-and-after examples, a QA checklist, and a conversion-focused sprint plan.

2) “We already have writers. We just need volume.”

What they mean: They are trapped in the slop spiral.

Response:

“Volume is not the constraint anymore. Consistency and credibility are. I help your writers produce more value per asset by giving them Voice DNA, message architecture, and proof systems so the content stops blending into the market.”

Proof: A content audit that shows where sameness and proof gaps are suppressing performance.

3) “We tried a copywriter before. It sounded nice, but didn’t move metrics.”

What they mean: They want accountability.

Response:

“I do not start with pages. I start with intent. What do we need the reader to believe, feel, and do? Then we install the message, proof, and testing loop. If we do not measure, we are guessing.”

Proof: A testing plan and measurable definitions of success for each asset.

4) “Our approvals are brutal. This will take forever.”

What they mean: They want fewer revisions.

Response:

“That is exactly why we build a message spine and voice constraints first. When stakeholders argue, it is usually because there is no shared source of truth. My process reduces subjective rewriting and anchors feedback to agreed-upon rules.”

Proof: Voice DNA guide plus an approval rubric.

5) “We can’t risk compliance issues or exaggerated claims.”

What they mean: They fear reputational harm.

Response:

“Agreed. Every claim must map to proof or be reframed. My workflow includes a claims inventory, proof mapping, and a risk pass so you publish with confidence.”

Proof: Claims checklist and proof library format.

6) “We need this to sound like our CEO, not marketing.”

What they mean: They need authenticity and executive voice.

Response:

“That is an extraction problem, not a writing problem. I capture the CEO’s thinking, language patterns, and earned opinions, then codify them so the voice stays consistent across channels.”

Proof: Executive voice capture method and sample ghostwritten posts.

7) “We need more options, more angles, more variants.”



What they mean: They want flexibility without chaos.

Response:

“Great. We will generate variants, but only within a defined message architecture. Otherwise, variants become noise. We will test hypotheses, not just produce options.”

Proof: A variant matrix tied to a testing plan.

8) “Why are your rates higher than those of other writers?”

What they mean: They fear being judged internally.

Response:

“I am not priced as a writer. I am priced as an outcomes partner who installs a content integrity system. The cost of generic content is invisible, but it shows up as wasted spend, lower conversion, and brand dilution.”

Proof: ROI framing: cycle time reduction, revision reduction, conversion lift targets.

9) “We can’t commit to a long retainer.”

What they mean: They want a low-risk entry.

Response:

“Perfect. Start with a sprint. We ship a core conversion asset set and the foundation system. If it works, you will know exactly what ongoing support should look like.”

Proof: A defined sprint scope with clear deliverables and KPIs.

10) “How do we know this won’t become generic AI output?”

What they mean: They fear slop.

Response:

“We use AI for acceleration, not authorship. The safeguards are Voice DNA, proof density rules, and editorial accountability. If it can be swapped with a competitor’s copy, it fails.”

Proof: The anti-sameness test and proof density rubric.

Messaging House for THIS Persona

This is the core architecture you can use across a landing page, sales deck, and email sequence.

Positioning statement



I help mid-market growth teams scale content with AI without sacrificing brand voice, credibility, or conversion performance by installing a human-led, AI-assisted content integrity system.

Core promise

Ship faster, sound distinct, and convert better without publishing generic, risky, or off-brand content.

Big idea

In a world where words are cheap, trust and specificity are the new moat.

Primary pillars

Pillar 1: Distinctive voice at scale

- Voice DNA that is enforceable across humans and AI
- Consistent tone, rhythm, and worldview across channels
- Reduced internal rewrites and “tone debates.”

Pillar 2: Proof-dense conversion copy

- Claims mapped to evidence, constraints, and real examples
- Fewer vague promises, more credibility
- Assets designed to move buyers through decisions, not just attract clicks

Pillar 3: A governed hybrid workflow

- Truth intake, draft acceleration, editorial pass, claims verification
- Brand safety and compliance-aware review checkpoints
- A repeatable process your team can run after I leave

Pillar 4: Measurable performance loops

- Conversion hypotheses, variant strategy, test plan
- Clear success metrics and iteration cadence
- Less content waste, more learning per asset

Supporting proof types to collect

- Before-after rewrites
- Asset performance deltas (conversion, reply rate, CTR)

- Cycle time improvements (time-to-publish, revision count)
- Stakeholder feedback (“finally sounds like us”)

Objection-handling one-liners for the page

- “AI can draft. We make it true, distinct, and conversion-ready.”
- “Speed without governance creates slop. We install guardrails.”
- “You do not need more content. You need more credibility per sentence.”

Primary CTA

“Start with a Conversion and Voice Sprint.”

Outcome: a core asset set plus the system that prevents future sameness.

Five Testimonial Statements

Written in Morgan’s voice, designed to align with their needs and internal pressures.

1. “We were producing more content than ever, but everything sounded like everyone else. Daniel helped us rebuild our messaging spine and voice rules, and suddenly the content felt ours unmistakably again.”
 2. “AI gave us speed, but it also gave us noise. What Daniel installed was discipline. Proof, clarity, and a process that stopped the endless rewrites.”
 3. “For the first time, Sales stopped complaining about ‘marketing copy’ and started using what we shipped. The difference was specificity. Less hype. More proof. More trust.”
 4. “I needed something I could defend to leadership. Daniel did not sell writing. He sold outcomes and a workflow. Faster cycles, fewer revisions, and conversion assets we could actually measure.”
 5. “Before, every stakeholder had a different opinion about the way. We now have Voice DNA and message architecture that keep everyone aligned. It reduced friction more than I expected, and it raised quality across the board.”
-

If You Want to Go One Step Further



Here are two practical artifacts that typically make this persona package immediately usable in sales:

1. A “Morgan Readiness Checklist” you can use on discovery calls to diagnose sameness, proof gaps, and workflow issues in 10 minutes.
2. A one-page “Conversion and Voice Sprint” scope with deliverables, timeline, inputs required, and success metrics.

If you want, I will draft both next, using the same Morgan messaging ho, so everything stays consistent.

1) Contextual Inquiry

Observing the buyer learning and deciding in real life

Topic they are “learning” in context:

“How do we use Generative AI to scale content without damaging our brand, credibility, or pipeline?”

Where they work and learn

- Hybrid schedule: home office two to three days, HQ or coworking two days, travel for quarterly planning.
- Learning happens in fragments: between meetings, on flights, late evenings, and during “quiet hours” before Slack wakes up.
- Their “curriculum” is messy: vendor demos, LinkedIn posts, agency decks, internal experiments, scattered notes, and a growing sense that the rules changed without permission.

Typical workflows

- They inherit a content backlog that sounds fine, but does not convert.
- They test AI tools for drafts, ads, and emails, then spend more time than expected fixing voice drift and vague claims.
- They juggle appraisals: brand, product marketing, lead gal, sales leadership. Every stakeholder edits differently.
- They build dashboards that show activity, but struggle to isolate causal impact across channels.

Recurring obstacles and friction points

- **The volume trap:** AI makes more content possible, but more content creates more reviews, more inconsistency, and less clarity.
- **The sameness problem:** “It reads like everyone else.” They cannot always describe what is wrong, but they feel it.
- **Proof bottleneck:** They need case studies, data, and credible claims, but proof is scattered across teams.
- **Trust risk:** They worry AI-generated content could look deceptive, sloppy, or brand-diluting.
- **Execution tax:** They are expected to be both strategist and production manager.

Contextual factors shaping their experience

- Marketing budget scrutiny and performance pressure.
- A CEO or CFO who believes AI should reduce outside spend.
- Internal teams are using AI inconsistently, creating voice fragmentation.
- Distribution risk anxiety: search, paid platforms, and audience trust are less forgiving than before.

Your research framework file stresses that contextual inquiry and observation reveal the “workarounds, unconscious habits, multitasking patterns, and environmental factors” that drive behavior. That precisely what’s happening here.

2) Learner and Buyer Persona

“Morgan Vance, Director of Growth Marketing”

Snapshot

- **Role:** Director of Growth Marketing (sometimes Head of Demand Gen)
- **Company:** B2B SaaS or B2B services, \$20M to \$150M revenue, 150 to 1,200 employees
- **Buyer status:** Primary recommender and budget owner for content and conversion assets; must align with Sales and brand leadership
- **Core tension:** “We need more pipeline, faster. But the content we are producing is blending into the market.”

Representative quote

“I don’t need more words. I need content that sounds like us, earns trust quickly, and actually moves prospects forward.”



Background and identity

- Came up through performance marketing, lifecycle, or content strategy.
- Comfortable with data and experimentation, but not trying to become an AI engineer.
- Self-image: strategic operator, not a “creative writer.”
- Quiet fear: being judged as replaceable if leadership thinks AI can replace agencies and freelancers.

Goals and success metrics

- Increase qualified pipeline without scaling headcount.
- Improve conversion on core pages: homepage, product pages, lead magnets, demo pages.
- Create a consistent voice across AI-assisted drafts, internal writers, and external vendors.
- Reduce ideation-to-publication time and reduce revision cycles.
- Prove ROI from content, not just activity.

Frustrations and pain points

- Content “works” only at the top of the funnel, and complains about lead quality.
- AI drafts are fast but bland and interchangeable.
- Stakeholders ask for “more options,” creating endless variants without a message spine.
- Brand voice guidelines exist, but are too vague to enforce.
- They feel responsible for quality, but the organization rewards speed.

Social environment and influence map

- **Sales leader:** pushes for sharper enablement, sequences, and proof points.
- **Product marketing:** protective of positioning and claims.
- **CEO:** wants thought leadership but has little time.
- **Legal/compliance (sometimes):** risk-averse, slows claims.
- **CFO/procurement:** compares spend against “AI can do it.”

Preferred tools and resources

- CRM and marketing automation, CMS analytics, and session replay.
- AI tools embedded in platforms or used ad hoc for drafts and variant generation.

- They trust: clear frameworks, checklists, before-and-after examples, and measurable lifts.

What they value when hiring outside help

They are not buying writing time. They are buying:

- A credible message architecture that reduces randomness.
- A voice system that survives scale.
- Proof density and claims discipline.
- Faster iteration with fewer revision cycles.
- Someone who can be held accountable for the outcome.

Psychographics: what actually drives the purchase decision

Your psychographics reference highlights that demographics can look identical while motivations differ, and that values, beliefs, and identity pressures shape response.

Primary psychographic segment: Achiever

- Wants predictability and results.
- Values status and competence. Buy what saves time and makes them look effective.

Secondary psychographic influence: Thinker

- Wants trust, durability, and practical value.
- Responds to a disciplined process, not hype.

Aspirational pull: Innovator (selectively)

- Open to new tools that are controlled, high-quality, and leading-edge.

Their core beliefs about AI and copywriting

- AI is useful for drafts and variants, but dangerous for truth, nuance, and voice.
- “Generic” is now a competitive disadvantage.
- The company cannot scale trust with ungoverned automation. Excellent copy is a conversion system, not a creative performance.

Barriers to buying from you

- Fear of paying premium rates for something leadership thinks is commoditized.
- Worry you will deliver “nice writing” without measurable impact.
- Concern you will not understand their internal constraints, stakeholders, and martech reality.

What makes them say yes

- You frame your service as an operating system: truth intake, Voice DNA constraints, proof, editorial accountability, conversion focus.
 - You show you can reduce risk and improve outcomes, not just ship assets.
 - You bring structure and simultaneously time.
-

3) Empathy Map

Built from the ethnographic lens in your framework docs: observe what they think, feel, see, hear, and do.

Think and feel

- “We are publishing more and getting less back.”
- “If this sounds like AI, we lose trust.”
- “I need a system, not another vendor.”
- “If I cannot prove impact, my budget gets cut.”

See

- Competitors flooding the market with lookalike content.
- Internal teams are using AI inconsistently.
- Stakeholders are pulling messaging in different directions.
- That promising automation does deliver clarity.

Hear

- CEO: “We need more thought leadership.”
- Sales: “Leads aren’t ready.”
- CFO: “Why does content cost so much if AI can write?”
- Team: “We can generate 20 options instantly.”



Say

- “We need to tighten our voice.”
- “We need content that actually converts.”
- “We need to stop rewriting everything three times.”

Do

- Runs experiments, tests subjects, and rotates at angles.
- Uses AI for first drafts and brainstorming.
- Spends too much time in revisions and approval. Look for frameworks that help them standardize output.

Pain

- Sameness, voice drift, and proof gaps.
- Review bottlenecks.
- Fear of distribution penalties and trust erosion.

Gain

- A repeatable system that produces distinct, high-performing content.
 - Faster shipping with fewer revisions.
 - Higher confidence in claims, voice, and performance.
-

4) Think-Aloud Simulation

A realistic internal monologue as they decide whether to hire you.

“Okay, we need a new landing page and a nurture sequence for this offer. I can draft it with AI in an hour, but then it turns into three days of edits. The copy feels polished but empty. Sales will say it sounds like every other vendor. Legal will flag the claims because we do not have proof attached. Our voice guidelines are too vague to enforce.

If I bring in a copywriter, leadership will ask why we cannot do it ourselves now. So the value cannot be ‘writing.’ The Vais that be: this person installs infrastructure that makes AI safe and valuable.

■

If Daniel can extract our truth, tighten our positioning, build a Voice DNA we can actually follow, and give us conversion assets with proof baked in, that is defensible. If he can provide us with a repeatable workflow and QA checklist that reduces revision cycles, I can justify the spend.

What I need is someone who can be the adult in the room for content quality. Not more drafts.”

5) Shadowing and Observation

What an observer would notice in a day

- They start the day in dashboards and Slack, then immediately move into meetings.
- They write in fragments. Deep work is rare and fiercely protected.
- They are constantly translating between worlds: brand language, sales urgency, product nuance, legal caution.
- They use AI like a pressure valve: it helps them move, but it does not solve alignment.
- The most exhausting part is not creation. It is coordination and correction.
- They keep a private file called “Good Examples” because they do not trust the system to reproduce frequently.

Your persona creation framework calls out multitasking patterns, workaround behaviors, and unconscious habits as key ethnographic insights. This persona shows all three.

6) Jobs to Be Done

Functional, social, and emotional “jobs” that drive buying behavior.

Functional jobs

- Produce conversion assets that increase the qualified pipeline.
- Crea creates a consistent voice across channels, creators, and AI tools.
- Build a message Architect to prevent variants from becoming noise.
- Reduce revision cycles and approval bottlenecks.

- Protect the company from risky claims and off-brand content.

Social jobs

- Look competent and strategic to leadership.
- Earn trust from Sales by improving lead quality and enablement.
- Be seen as the person who brought order to chaos.

Emotional jobs

- Reduce anxiety about trust damage and public embarrassment.
 - Feel in control of a shifting landscape.
 - Regain confidence that marketing can still create differentiation.
-

7) Journey Map

From trigger to renewal.

1. **Trigger event**
Performance drops, competitor noise rises, leadership demands more output, or the brand feels diluted.
2. **First response**
They try internal AI acceleration—output increases. Confusion increases, too.
3. **Problem clarity moment**
They realize the real issue is not speed. It is sameness, proof, and lack of governance.
4. **Solution search**
They look for frameworks, playbooks, a “content operating system,” conversion outcomes, and trust and safety.
5. **Vendor evaluation**
They want:
 - proof of process
 - before-and-after examples
 - measurable outcomes
 - clarity on how you work with AI and how you prevent slop
6. **Pilot engagement**
A sprint: landing page plus email sequence plus a voice and proof framework.
7. **Implementation and scaling**
If it works, they want:
 - a repeatable pipeline

- team training
- a governance checklist
- ongoing optimization

8. **Renewal decision**

They stay if you reduce chaos and produce measurable wins. They leave if you deliver “nice writing” without operational change.

8) Validation Summary for Member Checking

This is the “does this feel true” review prompt that could be used in interviews, aligned to your ethnographic framework.

“Here is what I think is true about your situation: You are under pressure to produce more marketing content and generate more pipeline with limited resources. You are using AI for speed, but it is creating sameness, voice inconsistency, and proof gaps that slow you down and weaken performance. Your most significant constraint is not writing time. It is alignment, governance, and confidence that what you publish is distinct, accurate, and on-brand.

Does that reflect your experience?

What is missing?

Where do you feel the most risk: brand trust, legal claims, SEO distribution, sales alignment, or internal credibility?

When you do hire outside help, what proof makes you feel safe saying yes?”

How to Use This Persona in Your Marketing

A short activation guide, grounded in the psychographic principle that motivations drive response.

Messaging that lands

- “We install a content integrity system that makes AI safe and useful.”
- “Stop shipping interchangeable copy. Build proof-dense conversion assets.”
- “Human-led, AI-assisted, editorially accountable.”

Proof they need

- 
- Before-and-after rewrites that show sameness removed.
 - A clear pipeline and QA checklist.
 - One or two case outcomes: conversion lift, faster cycle time, fewer revisions, better lead quality.

What not to lead with

- “We use AI.”
- “We write faster.”
Those triggers commoditization.



About Kaperider, LLC

If you made it this far, thank you for doing the real work, showing up, staying curious, and turning reflection into action. Thank you!

Kaperider, LLC helps B2B teams turn scattered messaging into a decision engine that drives revenue.

If your website sounds fine but does not convert, if your emails feel busy but not compounding, if sales depend on one person who can “explain it,” you do not have a content problem. You have a systems problem. Your pages, campaigns, and sales assets were built in different seasons by different people, and the story drifted. The market perceives drift as friction, and friction manifests as longer cycles, lower conversion rates, and greater discounting.

We fix what is under the hood.

Kaperider rebuilds your messaging spine and translates it into the assets that drive revenue: website copy, landing pages, sales letters, VSL scripts, email sequences, case studies, and sales talk tracks. Every deliverable is anchored to the same promise, proof, and positioning, so marketing and sales have no more protracted conflict.

Our differentiator is a human-led, AI-assisted workflow with editorial accountability. AI accelerates research, synthesis, and variant drafting. Humans own truth, voice, and verification. You move faster without publishing generic content that quietly erodes trust.

You will leave with more than “better copy.” You will leave with a reusable system: proof blocks, objection answers, message pillars, and templates your team can deploy without reverting to vague language.

If you want loud marketing, there are cheaper options. If you wish to clear, credible messaging that compounds across your funnel, Kaperider is built for that.



What's Next?

Think further. Build smarter. Lead human.

Daniel Stouffer is a futurist, systems thinker, and nonfiction author who helps B2B teams turn scattered messaging into decision-ready copy that sells with integrity.

He is the founder of Kaperider, LLC and Kaperider Publishing, where he builds websites, landing pages, sales letters, email sequences, case studies, and thought leadership. Each asset pulls from the same messaging spine, so the market hears one story.

Daniel's differentiator is a human-led, AI-assisted workflow with editorial accountability. AI accelerates research and draft variants. Daniel stays responsible for truth, voice, and proof, so the finished work feels specific, credible, and human.

Atypical engagement starts with sales call notes, customer language, and the proof you already have but are not using. Then Daniel rebuilds the core assets that carry revenue, often a homepage hero, one landing page, and an email sequence that makes the offer easy to repeat.

Before this work, Daniel served as a firefighter, which gave him a bias for calm urgency and clear communication when the stakes are real. He brings that same mindset to marketing, diagnosing what is broken under the hood before writing a single headline.

His books include Future Ready, Broken Ladder, and Hirable, and he builds AILKEMY, an insights engine for leaders who want to think further, build smarter, and lead human.

If you want hype or content that sounds like everyone else, he is not your partner. If you wish to message that resonates with a sales call and compounds across your funnel, connect with him on LinkedIn.

Contact Information:

Daniel Stouffer

dstouffer@kaperider.com

(612) 636-1509

I help you scale content with AI without losing trust, voice, or conversion.

■ ■ ■