The Write-Up

by Saša Cibula

White Paper

The Overtime Dilemma

Staffing Thin Without Burning Out Your Best People.



OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT / WORKFORCE COVERAGE

The Overtime Dilemma

Staffing Thin Without Burning Out Your Best People

A research-grounded guide to fair overtime decisions, early fatigue signals, difficult coverage conversations, and a simple rotation tracker.

THE MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLE

Cover the work without repeatedly spending the recovery time of the same dependable employees.

Saša Cibula

THE WRITE-UP • 2026

THE MANAGEMENT PROBLEM

An extra shift can solve today and damage next week

Overtime can be the fastest way to protect an operation when staffing falls short. It keeps orders moving, preserves necessary coverage, and gives employees who want additional income a chance to earn it. For many managers, some overtime is part of normal business. The problem begins when a temporary solution becomes the everyday staffing plan.

That shift often happens quietly. A manager calls the same people because they answer, know the work, and can be trusted to finish it. Other employees are harder to schedule, need training, or are already assigned elsewhere. What looks like an efficient decision on a single day slowly creates an uneven workload across the team.

Reliability is not unlimited capacity

Strong employees may accept extra shifts for months before they say the load is too high. Their work can remain good even as rest shrinks and frustration grows. By the time a manager notices more mistakes, declined requests, or unplanned absences, the warning signs may have been building for weeks. None of those signs proves burnout, but together they justify a serious review of how the work is staffed.

Overtime carries risks beyond employee morale. Longer and irregular hours can reduce attention, slow responses, and increase exposure to workplace hazards. Research on working schedules has also found an association between overtime and work-related injury. That does not make every extra hour unsafe; it means supervisors must consider cumulative exposure and recovery, not just whether someone is willing to stay.

The manager's real decision

The useful question is not simply, “Who can cover this?” It is: “What coverage is necessary, who is qualified and available, who has already carried the extra work, and can the assignment be made within the approved safety and scheduling rules?” Those questions take slightly longer than calling the most dependable person. They also create a staffing decision that can be explained and repeated.

A fair overtime system will not prevent every unpopular decision. It should make the rules visible, show how exceptions are handled, and help leaders recognize when another extra shift is no longer the responsible answer.

CONTROL THE DEMAND FIRST

Before you offer overtime, define what truly needs coverage

Managers sometimes treat a shortage of hours as proof that more people must work longer. That is not always the correct response. A staffing gap describes a difference between planned work and available capacity. Before filling that gap, identify which part of the work must happen now and which part can be changed.

Start with the operating requirement. Separate work that protects safety, legal compliance, critical service, or an immediate customer commitment from work that is desirable but can wait. Confirm the actual skills needed. A position may appear uncovered even when tasks can be reassigned among qualified employees without extending anyone's shift. Equally, a full headcount is not sufficient if the only person with a necessary qualification is absent.

Find the smallest responsible solution

Calculate the uncovered work by time block and skill. Do not ask for an eight-hour extra shift if the real gap is a short, defined period, unless the operation genuinely requires the longer assignment. Check approved options such as shifting start times, splitting a task, moving trained employees between work areas, reducing nonessential activity, or temporarily lowering output commitments.

These choices must remain inside policy, work rules, qualifications, and safety requirements. Reassigning untrained employees or removing required checks is not a legitimate substitute for overtime. Neither is postponing a safety task without an authorized plan.

Build a staffing trigger instead of making the same emergency decision

Record the reason for each significant overtime request. Distinguish planned peak demand, unexpected absence, skill shortage, rework, equipment disruption, and work that was simply scheduled too late. Repeated entries with the same cause mean the issue belongs in the staffing or process plan, not just on the overtime sign-up sheet.

If coverage cannot be achieved with acceptable risk, report the gap while there is still time to act. State the required work, available qualified hours, remaining uncovered hours, alternatives considered, and the decision needed from the manager with authority. Asking for approval to reduce scope or add resources is more useful than reporting that the shift is “short-staffed.”

The strongest overtime decision begins with an honest view of demand. Otherwise, a fair rotation may only distribute an avoidable problem more evenly.

FAIR ALLOCATION

A fair rotation is transparent, not identical

Fairness is not giving everyone the same number of overtime hours. Employees have different qualifications, schedules, preferences, work restrictions, and opportunities to take extra shifts. Fairness means applying the same known decision process to comparable employees and being able to explain why an exception was necessary.

Agree on the allocation rule before the next urgent shortage. Confirm company policy, any labor agreement, seniority provisions, local rules, and accommodation requirements. Define the order for offers, the tie-breaker, the response window, the treatment of refusals and cancellations, and who may approve an exception. Supervisors must not invent rules that override an existing requirement.

Use six checks in the same order

Use the same six checks each time. Need: define the hours and required skills. Eligibility: verify qualification and the governing work rules. Availability: follow the authorized voluntary or mandatory process. Recovery: check recent actual hours, time off between shifts, consecutive days, and reported fatigue. Rotation: apply the approved order within the comparable qualified group. Record: keep the offer, response, work performed, rotation credit, and any approved exception.

The recovery check comes before the rotation ranking. A person is not a good candidate merely because they have the fewest overtime hours. If the proposed schedule creates an unsafe or prohibited arrangement, move to another option and escalate the capacity gap when necessary.

Distinguish an offered opportunity from worked hours

An offered opportunity is not the same as an hour worked. Record every genuine offer, including declines and cancellations, but distinguish that history from the offers credited under the approved rotation rule. A declined offer may count for rotation under one policy and not another; a cancelled shift may receive no credit. Do not assume either result. Actual overtime hours must be based on verified work dates and hours, not on the date of the original offer.

Review both credited opportunities and worked hours over a defined period, such as 28 days. Use one consistent window for comparable employees. The lookback period and any tie-breakers are management rules that must fit the applicable policy; they are not universal legal requirements.

When an exception is needed for a rare skill, emergency response, or approved accommodation, document the reason. Fairness is weakened less by a necessary exception than by a decision nobody can explain.

MAKE THE ROTATION WORK

Make offers consistently, then make a clear assignment

A good rotation fails when supervisors use it only on quiet days. Under pressure, informal calling returns and the dependable few absorb the gaps. The solution is a repeatable method that works even when the notice is short.

Set the voluntary offer window and response method before a shortage occurs. Specify the approved priority order, how long employees have to respond, whether a decline changes rotation position, how ties are resolved, and how cancellations are handled. If no rule exists, propose one for approval by HR or labor relations before using it—for example, rotation credit first, verified worked hours second, and an established tie-breaker. Never change the method mid-decision to obtain a preferred answer.

Select from the eligible pool

Begin with people who meet the skill and policy requirements and whose actual schedule passes the recovery review. Apply the approved order only to that pool. When two people have the same position, use the published tie-breaker rather than supervisor preference. If few employees hold a necessary certification, rotate within that qualified group and create a separate plan to widen coverage.

Where mandatory overtime is authorized, explain the assignment process accurately and seek the applicable HR or labor-relations guidance before imposing consequences for a refusal. Rules may differ by location, agreement, job classification, and the reason the employee cannot work. Never treat a potential accommodation or protected leave issue as an ordinary scheduling disagreement.

Close every offer and confirm the final plan

Confirm the selected employee, work date, hours, area, and supervisor, then update the official schedule and timekeeping process. Record an actual work date and verified hours only after work occurs. Notify people promptly if coverage is cancelled. Log the cancellation, but apply rotation credit only as the approved rule directs, with approval for exceptions.

THE BRIEF OFFER

"We have [specific coverage need] on [date and hours]. I'm checking the approved rotation and recovery limits. Are you available and interested in this voluntary overtime? Please answer by [time]. I will confirm the final assignment."

Do not ask the same person repeatedly in private after a clear no when the work is voluntary. A manager may request help professionally, but repeated pressure on selected employees undermines the value of an open rotation. When volunteers are insufficient, move to the authorized backup process rather than treating persuasion as a staffing system.

The test of a good rotation is simple: another supervisor should be able to look at the record and understand why the next offer went to that employee.

FATIGUE BEFORE ABSENCE

Recognize the load before call-outs become the signal

Waiting for call-outs to increase is a poor way to find a staffing problem. Review actual patterns earlier: repeated long shifts, consecutive workdays, short gaps between assignments, last-minute extensions, and overtime concentrated in the same few employees. These indicate possible fatigue exposure. They do not, by themselves, tell a manager that someone has burnout or explain an absence.

Work strain also shows up before a safety event. Employees may stop volunteering, express frustration about unpredictable schedules, question whether overtime is shared fairly, or withdraw from optional work. Those signs may reflect workload, loss of control, or unrelated concerns. Discuss the work and its predictability before making judgments. Rework, missed checks, and near misses deserve a separate safety review when they appear alongside long hours.

Treat reported fatigue as operational information

Employees should have a clear route to say they are too fatigued to work safely. If someone reports serious fatigue, or appears unable to perform safety-critical work reliably, follow the organization's safety response: assess immediate exposure, stop or change affected work as required, involve the authorized function, and arrange safe coverage. Do not substitute a motivational conversation for a safety decision.

Protect recovery through schedule design, not just reminders to sleep. Review consecutive shifts, overnight changes, actual end times, breaks, and off-duty periods. General fatigue guidance recommends protecting meaningful recovery time; any exact hour limit in your operation must come from the applicable rule and risk assessment, not from a claim that one number fits every job.

Ask about workload and control, not a diagnosis

Discuss the verified schedule, notice, workload, and ability to recover. Ask whether additional hours are manageable, what has become difficult, and whether employees have enough choice and reliable notice. Listen for repeated strain without diagnosing burnout. If medical limitations, protected leave, or accommodation issues arise, use the confidential HR process. Record operational actions—not medical details—in the rotation log.

A PRACTICAL CHECK-IN

“You have worked [verified extra hours] over [period], with [schedule pattern]. I want to check whether this schedule is manageable and safe. What work or rest concerns should we address before I ask about another shift?”

A strong manager catches capacity pressure early enough to change the plan. That is more responsible than praising an employee's resilience while continuing to use the same person as the default solution.

THE CONVERSATION

Ask for help without making loyalty the price of saying yes

The hardest overtime conversation is often with an employee whose reliability has become part of the staffing plan. That person knows why the operation needs coverage and may also know that the request will keep coming. A useful conversation acknowledges the contribution while putting limits around what the business can reasonably expect.

Begin with the actual need and the employee's recent load. State whether overtime is voluntary or required under the applicable rules. Ask about capacity, not commitment to the company. Explain what will happen if the employee cannot take the assignment. The objective is an honest answer and a workable coverage decision, not a reluctant yes secured through guilt.

CORE SUPERVISOR SCRIPT

"Your work has made a real difference, and I can see that you have covered [verified additional hours or shifts]. We need [specific coverage], but I do not want our plan to depend on you taking every extra shift. This opportunity is [voluntary / governed by the approved assignment rule]. Before we decide, I want to check your recent schedule and available recovery time. If this is not workable, I will use the next approved option and raise the remaining gap."

When the answer is no

For voluntary overtime, accept a clear decline, update the tracker, and move to the next eligible person. Do not reduce preferred assignments, imply disloyalty, or make future coaching depend on accepting extra work. If an employee explains a scheduling barrier, listen for an accommodation or protected-leave issue and use the proper channel.

RESPONDING TO A DECLINE

"Understood. I will record that you declined this voluntary opportunity and continue through the rotation. If your availability changes, you can use [approved sign-up method]."

For mandatory assignments, do not pretend the employee is free to choose when policy says otherwise. Instead, state the requirement, explain approved alternatives or exceptions, and consult HR when a legal, safety, or accommodation issue is raised. Clear expectations and respectful treatment can exist together.

When the employee always says yes

Do not assume an enthusiastic response means there is no fatigue risk. Review hours and recovery objectively, confirm any restrictions, and discuss the longer-term pattern. When the employee wants more overtime than the operation can safely or fairly assign, explain the rule without suggesting they have done something wrong.

A manager can appreciate willingness without rewarding overextension. The strongest message is that reliability earns trust, not an unlimited claim on the employee's time.

WHEN THE COVERAGE FAILS

Escalate the staffing decision, not the pressure on employees

An honest overtime process sometimes ends with no safe, qualified person available. That is not proof the supervisor has failed. It is a capacity decision that belongs with whoever can authorize more labor, change priorities, alter service commitments, or accept a formally assessed operational risk.

Before escalating, confirm the actual uncovered hours, the work that cannot be moved, the required skills, and the options already checked. Distinguish insufficient volunteers from a complete lack of eligible employees. Be clear when the work is safety-critical or when coverage would breach an approved scheduling rule.

Present choices with consequences

A useful escalation does not say only, “We need more people.” It puts realistic decisions in front of the leader with authority: approve qualified temporary coverage, borrow trained capacity, change start times, move noncritical work, reduce output, extend a deadline, or suspend a nonessential process. State what each option protects and what it sacrifices. Do not promise a target that depends on unavailable labor.

ESCALATION SCRIPT

“For [work area and period], we need [qualified hours] and have [available qualified hours]. The remaining gap is [hours]. We checked [approved alternatives]. Filling the gap through more overtime would create [specific recovery, qualification, or policy concern]. I recommend [option] and need a decision by [time]. If not approved, [specific output or service commitment] must change.”

If the manager declines the recommended option, record the approved decision and new operating plan. Never silently shift a safety requirement onto workers or carry a knowingly impossible target without escalating the conflict.

Reduce repeated dependence on a small group

A recurring shortage needs an owner outside the daily rotation. Identify whether the barrier is forecast accuracy, slow hiring, training capacity, poor task design, absences, turnover, or a mismatch in shift schedules. Assign a date to the underlying fix. If a critical skill is concentrated in two people, overtime rotation cannot fix the vulnerability; cross-training and coverage depth can.

Use the extra labor hours as a signal about the work system. If the overtime bill is consistently large and the same employees are repeatedly assigned, the question is no longer who will come in tomorrow. It is why the approved staffing design requires repeated exceptions to function.

THE WEEKLY MANAGEMENT ROUTINE

Review fairness, fatigue exposure, and the real source of overtime

A rotation tracker should change decisions, not simply record them. Spend a few minutes each week comparing what was offered, what was worked, and which people or roles carried the highest load. Ask whether the overtime went where the work actually required it, whether the qualifying pool was too small, and whether employees had reasonable notice.

Do not judge the system only by total overtime hours. A team can reduce overtime while leaving a critical role exhausted, or increase overtime temporarily while distributing necessary work more responsibly. Examine both the total load and its concentration across the employees who were eligible for comparable assignments.

Use four checks that managers can act on

First, review coverage: required qualified hours and remaining gaps. Second, review fairness: logged offers, policy-based rotation credit, and verified hours worked, each using its correct date. Third, review recovery: which assignments require schedule verification or a fatigue response. Fourth, review the causes of recurring overtime and assign ownership for reducing them.

Avoid turning a review trigger into an automatic accusation. High overtime hours may reflect a worker's preference or unusual qualifications. Low overtime may reflect declined offers or restricted availability. The point is to ask the right follow-up question and apply the stated rules, not to decide someone is favored or uncommitted from one number.

Put decisions on the record

Record each material exception, its rationale, and the authorizing manager. Capture recovery checks with the date, outcome, and schedule source; a spreadsheet cannot independently verify rest between shifts without complete schedules. Record unresolved coverage gaps and escalation decisions. Keep the rotation tracker separate from official timekeeping and payroll records.

End the weekly review with one small operating change and one long-term fix. A better offer window may improve fairness immediately. Broader training may take longer but reduce concentrated overtime. Both matter. The system improves when leaders use the data to reduce future pressure rather than merely explaining the last schedule.

IMPLEMENTATION

Put a workable overtime system in place in 14 days

Introducing a fair process does not require a large technology project. It requires agreement about the allocation rule, a common record, and the discipline to use both when the shift becomes difficult. Start with a short pilot in one work area where supervisors can compare decisions closely.

Days 1–3: confirm the rules and baseline

Review current overtime policies, applicable labor agreements, qualification requirements, accommodations process, and safety limits with the appropriate partners. Pull recent actual hours from authorized records and identify where overtime is concentrated. Ask supervisors where they use informal calling or where specialized coverage repeatedly falls to the same people. Do not put medical explanations or other sensitive personal details in the tracker.

Days 4–6: build the rotation and explain it

Build a roster for each comparable skill pool. Confirm the offer order, published tie-breaker, response window, refusal treatment, and the policy for crediting cancelled opportunities. Explain that an offer, a credited opportunity, and an hour worked are different records. Eligibility and verified recovery take priority over any numerical ranking. Provide a straightforward method for correcting an inaccurate entry.

Days 7–10: use it on actual coverage needs

For every real coverage need, define the gap and verify skills, eligibility, availability, and recovery against approved schedules. Apply the published rotation. Log the offer date and response; if work occurs, add the actual work date and verified hours. Record rotation credit according to the approved rule and document exceptions. Escalate fatigue, leave, and accommodation matters through authorized channels.

Days 11–14: review, correct, and assign ownership

Look for repeated offers to one person, large differences between offers and worked hours, recurring last-minute gaps, and work areas that cannot be staffed without exceptions. Correct inaccurate entries and clarify confusing rules. Name the manager who owns the weekly review and the leader who receives unresolved capacity risks.

The aim at the end of two weeks is not zero overtime or perfect equality. It is a process the team can understand, a manager can defend, and the operation can sustain without quietly asking the most dependable employees to absorb every staffing failure.

FINAL MANAGEMENT CHECK

“Can I explain why this extra shift is necessary, why this employee is eligible, how recent work and recovery were considered, where the opportunity sits in the rotation, and what will happen if the coverage cannot be filled?”

PRINTABLE MANAGER TOOL

Overtime rotation and recovery tracker

This page is a single overtime-decision summary, not the complete rotation history. Use the companion Excel tracker for ongoing offers and worked-hour records. Verify qualifications and recovery against approved schedules; do not enter medical details or treat the worksheet as payroll timekeeping.

Work group / skill pool: _____ Review period: _____ to _____

Overtime need / date: _____ Approved selection rule: _____

Compare candidates only after qualification and recovery review. Record logged offers, rotation credit, and verified worked hours separately.

Employee / skill	OT hrs (28d)	Offers / credits	Recovery checked	Availability / response	Decision / exception

Selection and exception

Assignment / actual hours: _____ Approved by: _____
Recovery check: date / source / outcome: _____
Exception reason / rotation credit approved: _____

Coverage and follow-through

Unfilled qualified hours: _____ Escalated to / time: _____
Approved decision / alternative coverage: _____

Next review

Record checked by: _____ Review date: _____

MANAGER USE: Define the gap → verify skills and schedule recovery → apply the approved rotation and tie-breaker → record offers and policy credit → verify actual worked hours → escalate any unsafe or unfilled gap.

RESEARCH & PRACTICAL GUIDANCE

Sources and further reading

The paper translates research and official guidance into a practical management method. The rotation process and scripts are proposed operating tools, not research-validated protocols. Sources are listed here rather than cited in the body text.

1. Dembe, A. E., Erickson, J. B., Delbos, R. G., & Banks, S. M. (2005). The impact of overtime and long work hours on occupational injuries and illnesses: New evidence from the United States. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 62(9), 588–597. Read source

2. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). (2026, July 14). Fatigue and work. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Read source

3. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). (n.d.). Long work hours, extended or irregular shifts, and worker fatigue: Prevention. Read source

4. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). (n.d.). Extended/unusual work shifts guide. Read source

5. NIOSH. (n.d.). Workload level analysis. NIOSH training for nurses on shift work and long work hours, Module 5. Read source

6. World Health Organization & International Labour Organization. (2021). Long working hours and risks of stroke and ischemic heart disease: Global estimates and questions and answers. Read source

7. U.S. Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division. (n.d.). Overtime pay under the Fair Labor Standards Act. Read source

8. U.S. Department of Labor. (n.d.). Recordkeeping and reporting requirements. Read source

9. U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. (n.d.). Religious discrimination: Reasonable accommodation and scheduling. Read source

10. U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. (n.d.). Enforcement guidance on reasonable accommodation and undue hardship under the ADA. Read source

Application note

This is general management education for U.S.-based operations. Overtime pay, mandatory assignments, rest rules, scheduling notice, accommodations and collective bargaining obligations vary. Follow current local policy and obtain HR, payroll, safety or legal guidance for a specific decision.