The Write-Up

by Saša Cibula

White Paper

The Handover Trap

Why Shift Changes Break Teams



OPERATIONS / SHIFT LEADERSHIP

The Handover Trap

Why Shift Changes Break Teams

A practical, research-grounded guide to passing on unfinished work, live risks and clear responsibility.

THE MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLE A handover is complete only when the next leader understands the situation, accepts the required actions and knows when to check again.

Saša Cibula

THE WRITE-UP • 2026

THE MANAGEMENT PROBLEM

The moment work changes hands

A shift does not begin with a clean slate. It inherits the work, decisions and limits left by the people who came before it. Production may have stopped, an approval may still be pending, or a task may be safe to continue only under a specific restriction. The outgoing team knows how those conditions developed. The incoming team has to act on them without having lived through the previous hours.

That difference in knowledge is where handovers fail. The problem is rarely that nobody said anything. More often, the outgoing leader gave an update that sounded complete while leaving the next leader to work out what it meant. A list of yesterday's results is not the same as a clear account of today's unfinished work. Saying that an issue was "passed along" does not establish who accepted it.

A handover must transfer decisions, not just information

Every open issue should arrive with enough context for the next leader to make a responsible decision. What is the last confirmed condition? What work is allowed or restricted? What action is needed? Who is responsible for it now? When will the status be checked? The answers turn a conversation about the past into a plan for the next shift.

The receiving leader also has a duty. Listening without questioning is not confirmation. A sound handover allows time to challenge an uncertain status, clarify an instruction and acknowledge what can actually be completed with the people, equipment and authority available.

The cost of a vague transfer

When that exchange does not happen, the same work is checked twice, restrictions are discovered late, urgent tasks wait behind routine ones, and each shift develops a different account of what was agreed. Managers then spend time investigating the handover instead of managing the operation. The resulting frustration often looks like a people problem when the first failure was a weak transfer process.

THE OPERATING STANDARD The next shift should not have to reconstruct the previous shift. It should receive a reliable starting point and a clear set of next actions.

HOW HANDOVERS BREAK DOWN

Five failures hiding in ordinary updates

Weak handovers are not always rushed or disorganized. They can be brief, polite and apparently thorough. Their weakness is usually visible only after the next shift needs to act. Five recurring gaps deserve particular attention.

Results are reported; risks are left out

Output, attendance and service numbers have their place, but an overall result can look healthy while one critical item remains unresolved. Lead the transfer with conditions that could change a decision on the next shift. Routine numbers can stay on the normal dashboard unless they explain an operational risk or a required action.

A status is given without a next step

“Still with maintenance” or “waiting for approval” may describe where the problem sits, but not what the receiving team should do. The record needs the last confirmed status, the next action, the person who can make or approve the decision and the time for the next check. Where work is restricted, the restriction must remain explicit.

Responsibility is assigned to a shift, not a person

An entire team cannot personally accept one task. Each open action needs a named owner or receiving role. Others may help, and ownership may change later, but the first responsible person must be clear at the moment of transfer.

The plan has no response when conditions change

Workload, equipment status and staffing can change quickly. Important carryovers need a trigger for review or escalation. The next lead should know not only the preferred action but also the point at which that action is no longer suitable.

Sending the message is mistaken for agreement

A completed form, message or log entry proves that information was written. It does not prove the receiver understood it or accepted responsibility. For critical items, a brief read-back is the practical difference between sending information and transferring control.

These failures are process checks, not accusations. The goal is to make the work easier to receive correctly, even when the people on both sides are capable and committed.

WHAT THE EVIDENCE SUPPORTS

Why structure makes handovers more reliable

The best available guidance points in the same direction across several types of work: dependable handovers combine a prepared record, a two-way conversation and a check that the receiver understands what comes next. None of these parts is sufficient by itself.

Written records preserve details; conversation resolves uncertainty

Industrial safety guidance emphasizes preparation by the outgoing worker, a direct exchange between the two sides and a receiving check. The principle is practical. A written record helps people retain exact details and trace earlier decisions. A live exchange allows them to ask what a note means, correct an assumption and agree on what needs immediate attention. When face-to-face overlap is not possible, the same two-way exchange needs an approved alternative.

Experimental work on unfinished maintenance tasks found fewer task errors when written notes were supported by spoken or visual communication than when people relied on notes alone. This was a controlled experiment rather than a live industrial deployment. Its lesson is nevertheless useful: a record becomes more dependable when the next person can clarify it before acting.

Knowledge is lost when every shift uses a different record

Research in shift-work settings has also described repeated problem-solving and missing knowledge when information is fragmented between people and systems. A shared, accessible record helps the next team see what has already been checked, which attempts did not resolve an issue and what decision remains open. It prevents a shift change from becoming an unnecessary restart.

Improvement comes from habits, not a form alone

A large healthcare handoff improvement program reported reductions in medical errors and preventable adverse events after teams adopted a coordinated package of training, communication practices and follow-through. Healthcare is not warehousing, manufacturing or customer operations, so those outcomes cannot be transferred as a promised result. What carries across is the need to support a clear method with training, observation and consistent use.

SHIFT-15 applies these principles to everyday shift leadership. It is a practical operating method developed for this resource, not a separately validated intervention. Its value should be judged by whether the next shift receives better information and makes better first-hour decisions.

THE CORE METHOD

Run a handover that fits the work

A strong handover begins before the meeting. The outgoing leader updates the approved record as conditions change, rather than trying to reconstruct an entire shift from memory. Open tickets, restrictions, pending approvals and near-term deadlines should be checked against the systems that actually govern the work. The live meeting is then reserved for the items that require judgment, action or confirmation.

SHIFT-15 provides a starting rhythm for an ordinary operational transfer. The fifteen minutes are a planning guide, not a safety limit. Where risk, complexity or a major incident requires more discussion, the handover must continue or move into the organization's required escalation procedure.

The SHIFT-15 conversation

S — Status · 2 minutes	What changed? What is running normally, and what is restricted or different from the last update?
H — Hazards · 3 minutes	What must not be done? Which safety, quality or service controls remain active? Which issue needs immediate escalation?
I — Incomplete work · 4 minutes	For each critical open item, confirm its last status, next action, receiving owner and next check.
F — Follow-up · 3 minutes	Identify pending decisions, approvals, outside dependencies and what changes the plan.
T — Transfer · 3 minutes	The receiving leader repeats critical restrictions, accepts achievable work and raises anything that cannot be accepted.

Make it a conversation, not a reading exercise

The record should already contain the detail. During the meeting, concentrate on what the next leader must recognize, do and decide. Discuss the highest-consequence issues first. Invite questions before moving to the next item. An incoming leader who does not understand a restriction is not ready to accept that work.

At the end, the leaders should agree on the first checks, the accepted owners and any issue that must be escalated rather than assigned. That agreement—not the clock—is the point at which an ordinary handover is complete.

THE SHARED RECORD

Write what the next leader can act on

A handover record should not read like a shift diary. It should allow an incoming manager to see the work that remains open and make the right decision without searching through messages or guessing what an earlier note meant. The simplest useful entry answers seven questions.

Seven fields for every important carryover

1. What is the item? Identify the actual task, ticket, order, asset or work area using the organization's established reference. The next person must be able to locate the correct record and physical area.

2. What is the last confirmed condition? Record what was checked, who confirmed it and when. Separate verified facts from estimates, reports awaiting confirmation and unresolved questions.

3. What is the risk or restriction? State the condition that could affect safety, quality, service or delivery. Spell out what work cannot proceed and what approval is required before a restriction changes.

4. What happens next? Describe one action that can be checked: inspect, decide, request, complete, verify or escalate. "Follow up" is too weak unless the specific next step is clear.

5. Who owns the action, and by when? Record the receiving person or role that accepts it, with an actionable time for the next review or deadline. If ownership has not been accepted, identify the escalation rather than inventing agreement.

6. What happens if the plan does not work? Name the trigger that requires reassessment and the role authorized to decide. This protects the receiving lead from waiting indefinitely on a dependency they cannot control.

7. What proves the item is finished? Define the evidence needed to close it, such as an approved release, a recorded verification or a completed system transaction. An update saying that work was discussed is not evidence of completion.

Keep one dependable version

Use the approved system of record wherever possible. The handover form can point to that source, but it should not become a second place where permissions, safety status or approvals can conflict. If a detail changes, correct it in the authoritative record and make the change visible to the next shift.

THE LIVE EXCHANGE

Say the things the next shift needs to hear

Managers often believe they have been clear because they have supplied the right information. Clarity is tested differently: can the next leader accurately state the restriction, the next action and the first time it must be reviewed? A useful handover deliberately gives that leader an opportunity to answer.

Begin with what matters most

Start with active safety and quality restrictions, then other issues that could seriously disrupt the coming shift. State the confirmed condition before explaining background. A long history is only useful if it changes the decision the receiving leader must make. If the record contains several open items, take them in order of consequence and deadline rather than the order in which they happened.

Turn general phrases into definite commitments

Replace “They already know about it” with a precise transfer: **“[Owner or role] has accepted [action] by [time]. The current status is recorded in [approved source].”** Replace “We should be fine” with **“The current limit is [confirmed condition]. If [trigger] happens, stop or change the work as required and contact [authorized role].”** These are wording patterns, not fictional incidents. The leader must fill them with actual operational facts.

Require a brief receiving confirmation

After a critical item is explained, ask the incoming leader to describe the next action in their own words. A concise response can follow this structure: **“I understand that [restriction] stays in place until [release condition]. I accept [action] by [time]. If [trigger] occurs, I will [authorized response] and contact [role].”** Any disagreement should be settled or escalated before responsibility changes hands.

Give people permission to raise a real constraint

A receiver should be able to say that an action cannot be completed because the shift lacks authority, capacity, access or a required decision. That is useful information, not defiance. The outgoing and incoming leaders should record the constraint, keep any required restriction in place and seek the right decision. A promise that cannot be delivered makes the handover look complete while leaving the problem untouched.

The best conversations are direct and calm. They give the next leader enough detail to act and enough space to say what is still unclear.

AFTER THE MEETING

Make the first hour part of the handover

The live meeting transfers understanding. The first part of the next shift tests whether that understanding matches reality. A leader should not treat every open item as solved merely because its owner was named at the meeting. Conditions may have changed, a dependency may still be unavailable, or the agreed action may need an earlier decision.

Verify the operating conditions

The receiving leader begins by checking the highest-risk restrictions against the relevant approved records and, where required, the physical work area. A handover note must never substitute for an isolation, permit, equipment-release, quality-control or other formal safety procedure. If the current condition differs from the transferred condition, the leader stops the affected work as required and follows the site's escalation process.

Build open items into the shift plan

Accepted actions should appear in the actual work plan, not only in the handover log. Match each item to the person doing the work, its deadline and the next checkpoint. Where the receiving lead is not the person performing the action, the lead still owns the follow-up until the task is properly reassigned or closed.

Separate what is known from what still needs a decision

A pending approval or unavailable specialist does not disappear because the next shift begins. Keep it marked as pending. Note who has been contacted, what response is needed and when the issue must be raised again. This prevents repeated messages with no defined decision point.

Close work with evidence

A task is closed when the agreed completion condition is met and recorded. This may mean an approved release, the result of a required check or a completed transaction in the relevant system. For incomplete work, update the next action and review time instead of using vague labels such as "handled" or "done for now."

This first-hour discipline is what gives the handover lasting value. The next shift does not merely inherit an accurate record; it begins turning that record into controlled work.

THE TRANSFER TEST Can the incoming leader show what was accepted, what was checked and what remains unresolved? If not, the work is not yet under clear control.

ACCOUNTABILITY ACROSS SHIFTS

Hold the standard without starting a shift war

When a commitment is missed, frustration often arrives before the facts. The outgoing team believes it supplied the information. The incoming team believes it inherited more work than it could complete. A manager who begins by asking which shift failed will usually get competing explanations. A better review starts with the recorded agreement.

Follow the sequence: agreement, evidence, cause, reset

Agreement. Identify the action, owner, due time and restriction that were actually accepted. If the record never established a clear owner or deadline, the first correction is to the handover process, not a disciplinary conversation.

Evidence. Check the system record, the last confirmed condition, the completion evidence and the time the issue was raised. Separate late reporting from late work. Do not treat an undocumented assumption as a binding commitment.

Cause. Establish what prevented completion. Was the assignment unclear? Did staffing or workload make it unrealistic? Was approval outside the lead's authority? Was the work feasible but not acted upon? These different causes require different management responses.

Reset. Decide who owns the remaining action, what support or approval is needed, and when it will be reviewed again. Record the new agreement. Where a clear and achievable responsibility was repeatedly ignored, apply the organization's ordinary coaching and performance procedures—without turning every handover into a dispute.

Use language that keeps the discussion productive

A manager can say: **"I am not asking which shift caused this. I want to confirm what was agreed, what is still open and what we need to do next."** When a commitment was feasible but missed, a more direct conversation is appropriate: **"The agreed check was due at [time]. What prevented it, and how will we make sure the next one happens?"**

Managers should also set a boundary for repeated problems. If a task is regularly passed between shifts without resolution, assign one accountable owner for the larger issue, identify the decision that is missing and involve the appropriate manager. The handover is then used to track progress rather than to reset responsibility every few hours.

Firm standards and respectful communication are not opposites. The record allows a manager to insist on follow-through while being fair about the real conditions under which people worked.

IMPLEMENTATION AND REVIEW

Make the method normal in fourteen days

A new handover form does not change behavior by itself. The manager must make the required information clear, provide a place to record it and check whether the next team can use it. A two-week pilot is long enough to reveal obvious problems in a routine while remaining small enough to correct quickly.

Days 1–3: observe before changing the process

Choose one pair of overlapping shifts. Review recent handovers and ask the receiving leaders what they had to chase, recheck or clarify after work began. Compare those answers with open tasks, restrictions and missed follow-ups in the approved system. Do not begin with a campaign about better communication; begin with the details that are currently going missing.

Days 4–7: use one record and one live exchange

Introduce the seven required fields for important carryovers and the SHIFT-15 sequence. Keep routine metrics in their established reports. Have incoming leaders repeat high-risk restrictions and confirm that ownership is achievable. When a field does not help a decision, simplify it. When an important fact is missing, strengthen the field rather than adding an unrelated new form.

Days 8–11: review exceptions and missed commitments

Look at the problems discovered after handover. Determine whether the information was missing, not understood, out of date, or simply not acted upon. Also review work that was assigned but could not be accepted because of staffing, authority or system access. Distinguishing these reasons makes improvements more useful and accountability more fair.

Days 12–14: decide what to keep

Ask both shifts whether they can find the active restrictions, next actions and responsible owners without searching multiple systems. Count the critical entries that contain all seven fields, the actions clearly accepted, and the carryovers discovered late. Review the causes rather than treating the raw numbers as proof of improvement. Keep the parts that produce better decisions, revise what creates unnecessary paperwork and assign someone to maintain the standard.

The strongest sign of success is not a completed sheet. It is an incoming leader who can start the shift knowing what is safe, what is urgent, who is acting and when to check again.

PRINTABLE MANAGER TOOL

The one-page shift handover record

Use this as a starting point only where it fits local procedures. Enter real IDs, names, times and approvals. If the live record contains more than two important open items, attach or duplicate another page and keep the same seven-field standard.

AREA / PROCESS: _____ DATE / SHIFT / TIME: _____

OUTGOING LEADER: _____ RECEIVING LEADER: _____

ACTIVE RESTRICTIONS / FIRST PRIORITY

Restriction or current control: _____

Authorization needed to change it: _____ Next check: _____

OPEN ITEM 1

1 Item / exact location: _____ 2 Last confirmed status / time: _____

3 Risk / restriction: _____ 4 Required next action: _____

5 Accepting owner / review time: _____ 6 Trigger / escalation contact: _____

7 Evidence required to close: _____ Current status: [ ] Open [ ] Escalated

OPEN ITEM 2

1 Item / exact location: _____ 2 Last confirmed status / time: _____

3 Risk / restriction: _____ 4 Required next action: _____

5 Accepting owner / review time: _____ 6 Trigger / escalation contact: _____

7 Evidence required to close: _____ Current status: [ ] Open [ ] Escalated

RECEIVING CONFIRMATION

[ ] Critical restrictions repeated [ ] Next actions accepted [ ] Unworkable items escalated

First check / time: _____ Unresolved decision / contact: _____

Outgoing acknowledgment / time: _____ Incoming acknowledgment / time: _____

CLOSURE RULE An item stays open until its required proof is recorded in the approved system. A verbal update alone does not close the work.

REFERENCE NOTE

Research and further reading

This guide translates established handover principles into a routine for working managers. The sources below support the value of prepared records, two-way communication, confirmation and accountable follow-through. The precise SHIFT-15 timing, wording and two-week pilot are suggested practices to test locally, not a proven formula for reducing errors.

UK Health and Safety Executive. Shift handover. Industrial guidance on planning, communication and checking across shifts. https://www.hse.gov.uk/humanfactors/topics/shift-handover.htm

Parke B, Hobbs A, Kanki B (2010). Passing the Baton: An Experimental Study of Shift Handover. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/20110008267

Bosua R, Venkitachalam K (2015). Fostering Knowledge Transfer and Learning in Shift Work Environments. Knowledge and Process Management, 22(1), 22–33. https://doi.org/10.1002/kpm.1456

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (2023). TeamSTEPPS 3.0: Handoff. https://www.ahrq.gov/teamstepps-program/curriculum/communication/tools/handoff.html

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (2023). TeamSTEPPS 3.0: I-PASS. https://www.ahrq.gov/teamstepps-program/curriculum/communication/tools/ipass.html

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (2023). TeamSTEPPS 3.0: Check-Back. https://www.ahrq.gov/teamstepps-program/curriculum/communication/tools/checkback.html

Starmer AJ, et al. (2014). Changes in Medical Errors after Implementation of a Handoff Program. New England Journal of Medicine, 371, 1803–1812. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25372088/

The standard worth keeping

A dependable shift handover does not rely on one talented supervisor remembering everything. It gives every incoming leader a clear view of the work, a chance to question uncertainty and a specific responsibility they can either accept or escalate. When managers make that routine normal, they reduce the need for guesswork at the moment it matters most.

THE WRITE-UP BY SAŠA CIBULA

Practical leadership resources for people who lead work. • getthewriteup.com