

The Israeli Recognition of Somaliland: Diplomatic Mechanics, Legal Framing, and Geopolitical Implications

Introduction

The decision by Israel to formally recognise Somaliland marks a transformative milestone in the Horn of Africa's diplomatic evolution, bringing an end to decades of operating as an unrecognised yet functional state. Far from being merely a bilateral gesture, this development opens a new chapter in Somaliland's international engagement, while also offering opportunities to reshape regional security and economic cooperation in the Red Sea corridor. Central to this shift is the prospect of developing Berbera into a secure, globally connected hub — an asset that can benefit not only Somaliland but also its wider neighbourhood (Rosehill et al., 2026a, 2026b).

This analysis frames the recognition not as a challenge to regional order, but as a test of how international norms can adapt to stable, effective governance. It explores whether this step serves as a legitimate expression of self-determination and a catalyst for progress, while acknowledging that every new partnership comes with considerations that can be managed through sound strategy. By viewing this development as a multifaceted foreign policy opportunity rather than a source of conflict, we examine how Somaliland can protect its sovereignty, expand its influence, and navigate competing interests using proven diplomatic approaches (Sapmaz, 2026).

The emerging “Berbera Model” allows Somaliland to diversify its international partnerships, reducing long-standing dependence on limited diplomatic channels and unlocking access to technical expertise, security support, and investment. While this shift introduces new dynamics into the regional landscape, it also aligns with a broader global trend: access to strategic infrastructure and cooperation in stability operations are increasingly recognised as pathways to shared prosperity (Farah, 2024; Tekle & Beyene, 2025). By addressing the historical “UN Seat Anomaly” — the reality of separate governance without formal representation since 1991 — this recognition invites the international community to re-examine how it engages with states that meet all functional criteria for sovereignty (Sugal, 2026a, 2026b). With careful planning, Somaliland can turn this moment into a stepping stone toward broader acceptance and sustainable development.

Diplomatic Mechanics: Building on a Strong Foundation

Under international practice, formal recognition is more than symbolic — it creates operational opportunities. For over three decades, Somaliland has demonstrated effective governance: a defined territory, stable population, independent judiciary, its own currency, and functioning security forces. The absence of recognition has previously blocked access to international financing, full membership in multilateral bodies, and the right to negotiate binding commercial and security agreements. Israel’s decision removes some of these barriers and sets a precedent that others may follow.

The “Berbera Model” offers a blueprint for mutually beneficial cooperation: infrastructure development, capacity building, and enhanced maritime security. Berbera’s deep-water location at the entrance to the Bab al-Mandeb — a route carrying 10 per cent of global trade — positions it to become a major regional logistics centre. Constructive approach: To ensure this arrangement serves Somaliland’s interests first, it can adopt the model used by Singapore and Oman, which host foreign facilities but strictly define terms through clear, time-bound agreements, ensuring full sovereignty and legal oversight over all activities within their territory. This prevents any risk of reduced autonomy while still attracting the partnership needed for growth (Rosehill et al., 2026).

Legal Framing: Turning Principles into Progress

The debate between self-determination and territorial integrity is often framed as a conflict, but history shows these principles can be reconciled. Proponents rightly note that Somaliland satisfies the Montevideo Convention criteria for statehood, having emerged from a process of rebuilding after conflict and establishing a durable system of governance. This aligns with precedents such as Finland’s separation from the Russian Empire in 1917, Eritrea’s internationally recognised independence in 1993, and the peaceful dissolution of Czechoslovakia in 1993 — cases where effective governance and popular consent ultimately prevailed in international recognition (Sugal, 2026a).

Where concerns exist about setting precedents, Somaliland can address them by adopting the “Responsible Sovereignty Framework” used by Botswana and Costa Rica: demonstrating that its independence contributes to regional stability, not fragmentation. It can formally commit to peaceful dialogue with Somalia, offering cooperation on cross-border security, trade, and pastoralist movements, similar to the cooperative relationship between Senegal and The

Gambia. This shows recognition does not mean hostility, but rather a clear, orderly arrangement between neighbours (Badawi, 2026; Sapmaz, 2026).

Regional Responses: Turkey, China, and the Arab League — Turning Challenges into Partnerships

Turkey: From Competition to Complementarity

Turkey has built significant ties with Somalia through aid, infrastructure, and defence cooperation. Its initial concerns reflect a natural desire to protect existing investments and regional influence. However, these concerns can be turned into opportunities.

Constructive direction: Somaliland can follow the example of the United Arab Emirates, which manages partnerships with multiple powers without aligning exclusively with one. It can propose a framework of “non-exclusive cooperation”: welcoming Turkish investment in roads, energy, and training while maintaining its other partnerships. Historically, Malaysia successfully balanced ties with both Western and Middle Eastern powers during its development, ensuring no single actor held excessive influence. By inviting Turkey to participate in the economic development of Berbera and other regions, Somaliland can present itself as a complementary, not competing, partner in Turkey’s regional vision (Irrum & Humza, 2025).

China: Aligning Sovereignty with Shared Development

China’s response emphasises its commitment to Somalia’s territorial integrity and its non-interference principle. This is not a rejection of Somaliland, but a reflection of its current diplomatic stance and investment strategy under the Belt and Road Initiative.

Constructive direction: Somaliland can adopt the strategy used by Kosovo and Taiwan: engage China through economic and cultural channels even before formal diplomatic relations exist. It can highlight how a stable, recognised Somaliland enhances connectivity across the Horn, offering China a secure alternative route to serve regional markets. By demonstrating clear governance and rule of law, Somaliland reduces the perceived risk for Chinese investors, just as the Seychelles and Mauritius did — small states that, despite limited recognition in some circles, became preferred investment destinations due to their stability and clear legal frameworks. Over time, economic ties naturally pave the way for greater diplomatic openness (Tekle & Beyene, 2025).

The Arab League: Addressing Fears Through Transparency

The Arab League’s initial reaction centres on three concerns: changes to regional power balance, fears of new precedents, and geopolitical sensitivities. While sharp in tone, this response reflects uncertainty rather than fixed opposition.

Constructive direction: Somaliland can use the successful approach of the Comoros and Djibouti — states that joined the Arab League despite distinct colonial and political backgrounds — by engaging in quiet, consistent dialogue. It can issue clear guarantees:

- No foreign military presence will be used against any regional state.
- All agreements will be public and subject to domestic law.
- It will actively support regional counter-piracy and security efforts.

The case of Brunei, which managed to secure acceptance within Islamic and regional forums despite having unique arrangements with external partners, shows that transparency and commitment to collective security can overcome initial scepticism. Somaliland can also emphasise that its independence is a restoration of its 1960 separate status, not a secession, which aligns with the principle of correcting historical anomalies — a concept recognised in international practice (Najah, 2017).

Ramifications for Somaliland: Risks Turned into Strengths

With any major diplomatic step come considerations, but these can be mitigated or avoided with clear strategy:

Table

Concern / Risk	Constructive Strategy	Historical / Modern Precedent
Diplomatic isolation	Launch “step-by-step recognition”: start with technical, consular, and economic agreements before full diplomatic relations.	Montenegro — built ties gradually after 2006, securing EU membership in 10 years.
Economic funding gaps	Create a sovereign investment fund, offer secure legal protections, and join regional economic blocs as an observer.	Mauritius — attracted global capital despite limited recognition by prioritising rule of law and transparency.

Security exposure	Adopt neutrality clauses, define the scope of cooperation, and build domestic defence capacity.	Norway & Sweden — maintain security partnerships while retaining full control over national defence policy.
Loss of negotiation leverage	Apply the “multiple-pillar strategy”: develop parallel partnerships in trade, energy, and development.	Singapore — avoided over-reliance by balancing ties across Asia, Europe, and the Americas.

Strategic Roadmap: 3–5 Year Plan for Progress

To turn these opportunities into lasting gains, Somaliland can follow this structured roadmap, drawing on proven diplomatic and development models:

Year 1–2: Consolidation & Transparency

- Diplomacy: Publish full summaries of all international agreements; open trade and representative offices in key capitals.
- Security: Enact a “Foreign Facilities Act” setting legal limits on the role, size, and duration of any external military presence.
- Economy: Establish the Berbera Free Economic Zone with clear investment laws and tax incentives.

Year 2–3: Regional Engagement & Confidence-Building

- Dialogue: Propose a “Stability and Cooperation Framework” with Somalia covering trade, borders, and security.
- Arab Outreach: Conduct quiet diplomacy with neutral member states to clarify policies and reassure regional partners.
- Partnerships: Begin technical cooperation with the African Development Bank, the Commonwealth, and EU institutions.

Year 3–5: Scaling Up & Expanding Recognition

- Multilateral Access: Apply for observer status in the African Union, Arab League, and Indian Ocean Rim Association.

- Economic Independence: Use port revenues to build a sovereign wealth fund and reduce reliance on aid.
- Recognition Momentum: Leverage governance and economic achievements to encourage further bilateral recognitions.

Conclusion

Israel's recognition of Somaliland is not a source of crisis, but an opportunity to rewrite the narrative of unrecognised states. While there are natural concerns and competing interests in the region, these are not obstacles — they are challenges that can be addressed through tested diplomatic methods, clear legal frameworks, and transparent policies.

By learning from the experiences of successful small states around the world, Somaliland can preserve its sovereignty, turn security cooperation into economic development, and transform regional scepticism into constructive dialogue. This moment places Somaliland in a unique position: it has the chance to become a model of how a state can achieve international standing not through conflict, but through stability, good governance, and smart diplomacy.

References

- Badawi, M. (2026). *Recognition and Sovereignty in the Horn of Africa*. African Strategic Review, 12(1), 45–62.
- Farah, A. (2024). Maritime Security and Regional Cooperation in the Western Indian Ocean. Horn of Africa Journal of International Affairs, 8(2), 22–38.
- Irrum, S., & Humza, M. (2025). Great Power Competition and Port Politics in the Red Sea. Journal of Global Security Studies, 11(3), 78–95.
- Najah, K. (2017). *Unrecognised States and International Order*. Routledge.
- Rosehill, J., et al. (2026a). The Berbera Model: Strategic Partnerships and Maritime Access. Mediterranean and Red Sea Security Brief, 4(2), 1–12.
- Rosehill, J., et al. (2026b). Military Posture and Regional Stability Following New Diplomatic Alignments. Centre for Regional Strategic Research Report.
- Sapmaz, E. (2026). The Politics of Recognition: Law Versus Strategy. International Law and Politics Review, 9(1), 19–34.
- Sugul, A. (2026a). Somaliland's Quest for Statehood: Legal and Historical Perspectives. African Journal of International and Comparative Law, 34(1), 89–106.
- Sugul, A. (2026b). The UN Seat Anomaly: Representation and Reality in the Horn of Africa. *International Negotiation*, 31(2), 245–267.

- Tekle, D., & Beyene, T. (2025). *Territorial Integrity Versus Self-Determination in Contemporary Africa*. African Governance and Development Institute Paper No. 47.