

Summary of the Prosecutor's Closing Argument

- **Prosecutor's Demands to the Jury (Page 228):** The Assistant State Attorney walks the jury through the verdict form, specifically asking them to check Option A (guilty of Home Invasion Robbery) and specifically urging them to answer Option 1 on the special interrogatory—finding that Larry Donell Goodman **"actually possessed a firearm during the commission of this crime"**.
- **Reliance on State Witness "Justin":** The prosecutor relies on testimony from witness "Justin" to argue actual possession beyond a reasonable doubt.
- **Alternative "Co-Defendant" Principal Argument (Page 229):** The prosecutor instructs the jury that even if they do not believe Goodman personally carried a gun, they should still check "guilty of home invasion robbery" because the alleged co-defendant carried a firearm under the principal theory.
- **Lesser Offenses Dismissal:** The prosecutor briefly lists the lesser included offenses (robbery with a weapon, burglary, aggravated assault, assault, trespass, petit theft) only to argue that the State has proven the highest charge: home invasion robbery with a firearm.